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Research Questions

• 1) Are political values in Southeast Asia polarized? Examine distribution key ideological areas.

• 2) Who are the liberals and conservatives? Examine the profile of those on ideological poles

• 3) Does political values help us understand partisan political polarization in Southeast Asia?
Southeast Asian & Ideological Difference

1. **Equality**: How fair do you think income distribution is in the country?
2. **Secularism**: The government should consult religious authorities when interpreting the laws.
3. **Rule of law**: When the country is facing a difficult situation, it is ok for the government to disregard the law in order to deal with the situation.
4. **Corruption**: How widespread do you think corruption and bribe-taking are in the national government?
5. **Preference for democracy**
   - 5.1 Democracy is always preferable to any other kind of government
   - 5.2 If you had to choose between democracy and economic development, which would you say is more important?
   - 5.3 If you had to choose between reducing economic inequality and protecting political freedom, which would you say is more important?
6. **Paternalism and Role of Government**
   - 6.1 Government leaders are like the head of a family; we should all follow their decisions
   - 6.2 It is the responsibility of the government to reduce the differences between people with high income and those with low incomes
7. **Anti-Globalization (Protectionism)**: Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: “We should protect our farmers and workers by limiting the import of foreign goods.”
Findings: An Ideological Divide?

1. **Equality**: Some splits, but not consistently across countries
2. **Secularism**: Shocking lopsided support for non-secular government across the region.
3. **Rule of law**: More polarization over rule of law
4. **Corruption**: Similar polarization over corruption
5. **Preference for democracy**: Weight toward preference for democracy, only in Singapore and Philippines more split
6. **Paternalism and Role of Government**
   - 6.1 Divisions in some countries, Philippines and Thailand, but not others Indonesia and Myanmar
   - 6.2 Majorities support government interventions
7. **Anti-Globalization (Protectionism)**: Region is highly protectionist, anti-globalization
Some splits, but not consistently across countries

Equality (Perception of Income Equality)
Worrying lopsided support for non-secular government across region

Secularism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VN</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY</td>
<td>3937</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Agree, Strongly agree
More polarization over rule of law

Rule of Law
Corruption in National Government

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Hardly anyone</th>
<th>Not a lot</th>
<th>Most officials</th>
<th>Almost everyone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similar polarization over corruption
Weight toward preference for democracy, only in Singapore and Philippines more split

Preference for Democracy

- PH: 47
- SG: 51
- TH: 60
- MY: 69
- VN: 70
- ID: 70
- MM: 72
- KH: 74
Divisions in some countries, Philippines and Thailand, but not others Indonesia and Myanmar

**Paternalism (Leader as Head of Family)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reducing Inequality is Government’s Responsibility

Majorities support government interventions

PH  TH  ID  SG  VN  KH  MY  MM

Strongly Disagree  Disagree  Agree  Strongly agree
Region is highly protectionist, anti-globalization

Anti-Globalization (Protectionism)
Who are Liberals and Conservatives?

Analysis:

- Use of latent class analysis on ideological dimensions distinguishing traits of conservatives and liberals.

Findings:

- Education: More educated are liberals, except Indonesia
- Age: Youth are not necessarily more liberal. More liberal youth only in Cambodia, Malaysia and Singapore
- Region: Urbanites, in general, more liberal, except Indonesia and Myanmar
- Income: Less affluent more liberal, exception in Vietnam and Philippines
Secularism by Education Level

- **Primary**
- **Secondary**
- **Tertiary**

Countries:
- PH
- TH
- SG
- VN
- KH
- MY
- MM
- ID

Education Levels:
- Primary
- Secondary
- Tertiary
Rule of Law by Education

- TH: 28 Primary, 47 Secondary, 65 Tertiary
- ID: 28 Primary, 40 Secondary, 58 Tertiary
- SG: 21 Primary, 49 Secondary, 65 Tertiary
- VN: 21 Primary, 30 Secondary, 52 Tertiary
- KH: 52 Primary, 59 Secondary, 64 Tertiary
- MM: 46 Primary, 55 Secondary, 55 Tertiary
- PH: 58 Primary, 62 Secondary, 62 Tertiary
- MY: 58 Primary, 56 Secondary, 60 Tertiary
Political Ideology and Partisan Political Polarization

- **Expected Findings: Ideology Supporting the Partisan Divide**
  - Income Distribution: Opposition and those without party ID are more likely to perceive income distribution unfair, except in Thailand.
  - Secularism: Supporters of the opposition and those without party ID are more likely to be pro-secularism, except Indonesia and Thailand.
  - Rule of Law: Supporters of the opposition and those without party ID are more likely to support rule of law, except Vietnam, Thailand, and Indonesia.
  - Corruption: Supporters of the opposition and those without party ID are more likely to think most government officials at the national level are corrupt, except Indonesia where there is no difference.
  - Paternalism: Identifiers of the ruling parties are more likely to support paternalism, except Thailand and the Philippines. Identifiers of the ruling parties are more likely to support government intervention, except Cambodia and the Philippines, where there is no difference.
  - Anti-globalization: Identifiers of the ruling parties are more likely to support protectionism, except Singapore and the Philippines.

- **Interesting findings: Evaluating the Questions in Their Contexts**
  - Preference for Democracy: There are no significant differences between people with different party IDs, except the Philippines, Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam, where the supporters of the ruling parties are more likely to prefer democracy. But: Supporters of the opposition and those without party ID are more likely to prefer democracy to economic development, except Indonesia, Vietnam, and Thailand.
Secularism by Party ID

- MM: Ruling 21, Opposition and no party ID 33
- MY: Ruling 23, Opposition and no party ID 31
- VN: Ruling 24, Opposition and no party ID 26
- KH: Ruling 29, Opposition and no party ID 42
- ID: Ruling 34, Opposition and no party ID 30
- PH: Ruling 39, Opposition and no party ID 41
- SG: Ruling 48, Opposition and no party ID 51
- TH: Ruling 53, Opposition and no party ID 50
Rule of Law by Party ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ruling</th>
<th>Opposition and No party ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VN</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- **Ruling**
- **Opposition and No party ID**
Preference for Democracy by Party ID

Ruling: 51 SG, 54 PH, 72 MY, 72 ID, 73 MM, 73 KH, 73 TH, 82 VN
Opposition and No party ID: 51 SG, 45 PH, 64 MY, 70 ID, 72 MM, 74 KH, 58 TH, 65 VN
Prefer Democracy to Economic Development by Party ID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ruling</th>
<th>Opposition and No party ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VN</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: Ruling, Opposition and No party ID
Reducing Inequality as Govt’s Responsibility by Party ID
Protectionism by Party ID

Ruling | Opposition and No party ID
--- | ---
SG | 54 | 61
MM | 79 | 76
TH | 80 | 78
PH | 83 | 87
VN | 86 | 78
MY | 86 | 80
KH | 89 | 89
ID | 95 | 90
Conclusion

• Ideological Polarization in some areas, but not all. Region-wide splits over corruption and rule of law. Inconsistent splits equality and preference to democracy.

• Conservative majorities over secularism, government intervention/paternalism, protectionism

• Liberals are tied to education and urbanization, to a less extent to age and income.

• Considerable reinforcement of party divides in areas such as equality, corruption, paternalism, anti-globalization. Different findings for preference for democracy.

• Values are indeed shaping political polarization in multiple, some unexpected, ways.